Open Source License Compliance Proposal for Dependency-Track

Draft 2018-12-10

Contributors: Henry Haverinen, Kim Paananen, Sami Lempinen, Juuso Kanner

Introduction

• This presentation is a draft concept for adding open source license compliance functions to Dependency-Track

License policy

- Whether an OSS license is acceptable will depend on the organization and the project.
- Sometimes architecture decisions such as dynamic vs static linking will impact the decision
- Proposed OSS license policy states:
 - Uncategorized: license policy has not been defined for this license (default)
 - Blacklisted: this license is not acceptable for use
 - Whitelisted: this license is acceptable for use
 - Case-by-case: whether the license is acceptable needs to be analysed separtely per project

The scope of the license policy

- For simplicity, it is proposed to have a single global license policy in Dependency-Track, rather than multiple policies or project specific policies
- Especially if the policy includes the "case-by-case" option, a single global policy is expected to be good enough for most users

Defining the license policy

		K Search		🚯 About 🛛 👗 Profile	🕩 Logout	
	Name 🔼	SPDX License ID	OSI Approved	License Policy		
	389 Directory Server Ex	389-exception	No	Uncategorized		
	3dfx Glide License	Glide	No	Uncategorized		
	Abstyles License Abstyles		No	Uncategorized		
-0 <u>0</u>	Academic Free License AFL-1.1		Yes	Uncategorized		
**				Blacklisted		
	"OSI Approved" is user editable, so could use "Yes" "No" instead of checkbox	s not Th we the or by so f a opt	e user can define OSS License policy electing one of the tions from a drop- down list			

Importing and exporting the license policy

• As an enhancement, it would be nice to be able to export the policy to a file and import a policy to the tool from a file

About license audit

- License audit is very similar to vulnerability audit
- License audit can be done per project or per component similarly as a vulnerability audit
- Analysis works similarly to the analysis of vulnerabilities
- Suppressing works similarly to suppressing vulnerabilities.
 Suppressing will impact the metrics, and it should be used mainly for possible "false positives" and case-by-case approved licenses.

License audit for a project



License analysis options

- License analysis options in license audit:
 - NOT_SET: License audit has not been done
 - APPROVED: the license has been approved
 - REJECTED: the license has been rejected
- For whitelisted licenses, the initial analysis value is APPROVED
- For blacklisted licenses, the initial analysis value is REJECTED
- For uncategorized licenses, the initial analysis value is NOT_SET
- For case-by-case licenses, the initial analysis value is NOT_SET
- For components with an unknown license, the initial analysis value is NOT_SET

License audit

.

Â

D

<u></u>

DEPENDENCY-	ТКАСК		Search	·	🕽 About 占 Profile 🕩 Logout		
🗠 Overview	b Dependencies	■Audit 中 License	audit				
Component	Version	SPDX License ID	License Policy	License Analysis	Suppressed		
OpenSSL	1.0.1e	OpenSSL	Uncategorized	APPROVED	X		
Example tech	1.52.0	LGPL-3.0	Case-by-case	REJECTED			
License GNU Lesser Genera Comment	l Public License v3.0	only	Audit trailhenry - 10 Dec 2The application ishenry - 10 Dec 2NOT_SET \rightarrow REJEAnalysisNot setRejectedApproved	Audit trail henry – 10 Dec 2018 at 12:17:31 The application is statically linked, so the license is not acceptable henry – 10 Dec 2018 at 12:18:18 NOT_SET \rightarrow REJECTED Analysis Not set Rejected Approved			

Re-auditing the licenses after the BoM is updated

- When a license issue is audited/suppressed and the BoM is later updated, then the previous manual audit analysis decisions and suppression decisions should still remain, at least for those components whose version does not change
- However, if the version of a component changes, then it is possible that the previous manual approval/suppression decisions may become invalid, especially for components whose BoM didn't include a license. The simplest solution would be to restore the analysis state the default value as dictated by the policy, and suppression state to unsuppressed. However, there could also be some other softer state that suggests that the user should review the license audit decisions to make sure they are still valid.

License compliance dashboard for a project

- Unprocessed license issues = # of components with an uncategorized license or with an unprocessed case-bycase license (License analysis NOT_SET and license issue is not suppressed)
- Approved licenses = # of components with a whitelisted or an approved case-by-case license (License analysis APPROVED)
- Rejected licenses =

 # of components with a blacklisted or a rejected case-by-case license
 (License analysis REJECTED and license issue is not suppressed)





License compliance dashboard for portfolio

• Projects with license issues = number of projects that use a component that has an uncategorized license, a blacklisted license, a rejected case-by-case licenses, or an unprocessed case-by-case licenses and the issue is not suppressed

